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#### Abstract

In this paper, a class of completely primary finite rings of characteristic $p^{k}$ has been constructed. The objective is to investigate the inverses of regular elements in the class of rings.
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## 1 Introduction

The classification of finite rings still remains elusive. Every element in a finite ring with identity is either a zero divisor or a unit. It is well known that every commutative finite ring is a direct sum of completely primary finite rings. The
study on the structures of units and zero divisors has not been exhausted. An element $a \in R$ is said to be Von-Neumann regular if there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a=a^{2} b$, where $b$ is the Von-Neumann Inverse of $a$, See e.g [3]. An element of $R$ is regular if it is either a unit or zero. This article investigates the inverses of regular elements in $R$.

Unless otherwise stated, $J(R)$ shall denote the Jacobson radical of a completely primary finite ring $R$. The set of all the regular elements in $R$ shall be denoted by $V(R)$. The rest of the notations used in this article are standard and reference may be made to [1], [2], [4] and [6].

## 2 Regular elements of Galois Rings

Let $R$ be a completely primary finite ring with a unique maximal ideal $J$. Then $R$ is of order $p^{n r} ; J$ is the Jacobson radical of $R ; J^{m}=(0)$ where $m \leq n$ and the residue field $R / J \cong F_{p^{r}}$ is a finite field for some prime integer $p$ and positive integer $r$. The characteristic of $R$ is $p^{k}$ where $k$ is an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq m$. If $k=m=n$, then $R=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}[b]$ where $b$ is an element of $R$ of multiplicative order $p^{r}-1 ; J=p R$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(R) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(R / p R)$. Such a ring is called a Galois ring, denoted by $G R\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)$. Now, $G R\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}[x] /(f)$ where $f \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}[x]$ is a monic polynomial of degree $r$ whose image in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[x]$ is irreducible.

The results on trivial Galois rings can be obtained from [3]. The proofs have been made more elaborate. Consider the trivial Galois ring $G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}$. Then for each natural number $p^{k}$, the function $\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)$ is the number of integers $x$ such that $1 \leq x \leq p^{k}$ and g.c.d $\left(x, p^{k}\right)=1, \varpi\left(p^{k}\right)$ is the number of distinct primes dividing $p^{k}, \tau\left(p^{k}\right)$ is the number of divisors of $p^{k}$ and $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)$ is the sum of the divisors of $p^{k}$.

Proposition 1 (See [3]). Let $p$ and $k$ be a prime and a positive integer respectively. An element $a$ is regular in $G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$ iff $a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1} \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$

Proof. Suppose $a$ is a regular element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k}}$. If $a \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$, then $a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1} \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. Now, let $a$ be a unit $\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. Using Euler's theorem, $a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. Therefore $a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1} \equiv a\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$.
Conversely , $a \equiv a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1} \equiv a^{2} a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}-1}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$, so that $a$ is a regular element.

Corollary 1 (See [3]). Let $0 \neq a$ be a regular element in $G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$, then $a^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}-1}$ is a Von-Neumann inverse of $a$ in $G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$.

Proposition 2 (See [3]). Let $R=G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$. Then $V\left(p^{k}\right)=p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1=$ $\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)+1=p^{k}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{k}}\right)$

Proof. Since $G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$ is local, every regular element in the ring is either zero or a unit. Now, the number of all the units of the ring is $p^{k}-p^{k-1}$ and the zero element in the ring is unique. Thus the result easily follows.

Proposition 3 (See [3]). Let $p$ and $k$ be a prime and a positive integer respectively. Then $V\left(p^{k}\right)=\sum_{t \| p^{k}} \varphi(t)$ and $V\left(p^{k}\right) / \varphi\left(p^{k}\right)=\sum_{t \| p^{k}} 1 / \varphi(t)$.

Proof. In $G R\left(P^{k}, p^{k}\right)$, the unitary divisors are 1 and $p^{k} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$. By definition, $\varphi(1)=1$. But $V\left(p^{k}\right)=p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1=\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)+1=\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)+\varphi(1)$. Further, $\frac{V\left(p^{k}\right)}{\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)}=\frac{p^{k}-p^{k-1}+1}{p^{k}-p^{k-1}}=1+\frac{1}{p^{k}-p^{k-1}}$

$$
=\frac{1}{\varphi(1)}+\frac{1}{\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)} .
$$

The summatory function $F\left(p^{k}\right)$ is given by $F\left(p^{k}\right)=\sum_{t \mid p^{k}} V(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} V\left(p^{i}\right)=V(1)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} V\left(p^{i}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=V(1)+\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left[\left(p^{i}-p^{i-1}\right)+1\right] \\
=1+\left(p+p^{2}+\ldots .+p^{k}\right)-\left(1+p+p^{2}+\ldots+p^{k-1}\right)+k \\
=p^{k}+k
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 2 (See [3]). Let $R=G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$, then $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)+\varphi\left(p^{k}\right) \leq p^{k} \tau\left(p^{k}\right)$.
Proof. Let $k=1$, then $\sigma(p)=p+1$ and $\varphi(p)=p-1$ so that $\sigma(p)+\varphi(p)=2 p$. Since $p$ has only two divisors, that is 1 and $p$, then $2 p=p \tau(p)$.
Thus $\sigma(p)+\varphi(p)=p \tau(p)$.
Now, suppose $k>1$, then $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} p^{i}$ and $\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)=p^{k}-p^{k-1}$, so that $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)+\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)=1+p+\ldots . .+p^{k}+p^{k}-p^{k-1}$

$$
=2 p^{k}+p^{k-2}+\ldots .+p+1<(k+1) p^{k} .
$$

But $p^{k}$ has $(k+1)$ divisors, so that $(k+1) p^{k}=p^{k} \tau\left(p^{k}\right)$.
Thus $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)+\varphi\left(p^{k}\right)<p^{k} \tau\left(p^{k}\right)$.
Lemma 1 (See [3]). Let $R=G R(p, p)=\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then $\sigma(p)+V(p)>p \tau(p)$
Proof. Clearly $\sigma(p)=p+1$ and $V(p)=p$.
So $\sigma(p)+V(p)=2 p+1>2 p=p \tau(p)$.
Theorem 3 (See [3]). Let $R=G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$. If $k>1$, then $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)+V\left(p^{k}\right)<$ $p^{k} \tau\left(p^{k}\right)$

Proof. Clearly $1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{2}}+\ldots .+\frac{1}{p^{k}}<k=(k+1)-1=\tau\left(p^{k}\right)-1$
So $\frac{\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)}{p^{k}}=\frac{1+p+p^{2}+\ldots+p^{k}}{p^{k}}<\tau\left(p^{k}\right)-1$.
Now, $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)<p^{k}\left(\tau\left(p^{k}\right)-1\right)=p^{k} \tau\left(p^{k}\right)-p^{k}$.
Since $V\left(p^{k}\right)<p^{k}$, we obtain $\sigma\left(p^{k}\right)<p^{k} \tau\left(p^{k}\right)-V\left(p^{k}\right)$.
Lemma 2. Let $R_{0}=G R\left(p^{r}, p\right)$ for some prime integer $p$ and positive integer $r$. Then $V\left(R_{0}\right)=R_{0}$.

Proof. Clearly $V\left(R_{0}\right) \subseteq R_{0}$ because every element in $V\left(R_{0}\right)$ belongs to $R_{0}$. On the other hand, let $a \in R_{0}$. Then $a$ is either a unit or zero. Thus $a \in V\left(R_{0}\right)$. So $R_{0} \subseteq V\left(R_{0}\right)$. This completes the proof.

We now characterize the VonNeumann inverses of regular elements in $G R\left(p^{r}, p\right)$.
Lemma 3. Let $R_{0}=G R\left(p^{r}, p\right)$, for some prime integer $p$ and positive integer $r$. If $a \neq 0$ is regular in $R_{0}$, then $a^{-1} \equiv a^{(V(p))^{r}-2}(\bmod p)$.

Proof. Clearly $V(p)=p$. Since $R_{0}$ is a field of order $p^{r}$, every nonzero element in $R_{0}$ is invertible. Let $0 \neq a \in R_{0}$, then by Euler's theorem, $a^{p^{r}-1} \equiv$ $1(\bmod p)$.
Multiplying both sides by $a^{-1}$, we obtain $a^{p^{r}-2} \equiv a^{-1}(\bmod p)$.
Since $\equiv$ is symmetric , the result follows.
Lemma 4. Let $R=G R\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)$ where $p$ is a prime integer, $k$ and $r$ are positive integers. Then $V(R)=R^{*} \cup\{0\}$ and $|V(R)|=p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)+1$

Proof. Let $a \in R^{*} \cup\{0\}$, then $a$ is either a unit or zero. Since $R$ is local, $a$ is a regular element, that is $a \in V(R)$. So $R^{*} \cup\{0\} \subseteq V(R)$. On the other hand, let $a \in V(R)$, then there exists an element $b \in R$ such that $a=a^{2} b$, that is $a(1-a b)=0$. If $a$ is a unit, then $1-a b=0$, so that $a b=1$ and $b$ is the VonNeumann inverse of $a$. If $a$ is a nonunit, then $a b$ is a nonunit. But $a b=a^{2} b^{2}=a a b b=a b a b=(a b)^{2}$ because $R$ is commutative. So $a b=(a b)^{2}$. $\Rightarrow a b(1-a b)=0$. Since $1-a b$ is a unit, $a b=0$. so that $a=0$ because $b$ is its VonNeumann inverse.
Thus $V(R) \subseteq R^{*} \cup\{0\}$. Now $R^{*}=\left(R^{*} / 1+J\right) \times 1+J \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}-1} \times 1+J$. But $|1+J|=|J|=\left|p G R\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)\right|=p^{(k-1) r}$. Therefore $\left|R^{*}\right|=\left(p^{r}-1\right)\left(p^{(k-1) r}\right)$. Since $V(R)=R^{*} \cup\{0\}$, the last statement easily follows.

Proposition 4. Let $R_{0}=G R\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)$. Suppose a is a regular element in $R_{0}$, then its VonNeumann inverse is given as $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)-1}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$.

Proof. If $a$ is regular in $R$, then $a \equiv a^{\left|R^{*}\right|+1} \equiv a^{p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)+1} \equiv a^{2} a^{p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)-1}(\bmod$ $\left.p^{k}\right)$. So that $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)-1}\left(\bmod p^{k}\right)$.

## 3 Regular elements of completely primary finite rings of characteristic $p^{k}$

Let $R_{0}$ be the Galois ring of the form $\operatorname{GR}\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)$. For each $i=1, \ldots ., h$, let $u_{i} \in J(R)$ such that $U$ is $h$-dimensional $R_{0}$-module generated by $u_{1}, \ldots \ldots, u_{h}$ so that $R=R_{0} \oplus U=R_{0} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{h} \oplus\left(R_{0} / p R_{0}\right)^{i}$ is an additive group. On this group, define multiplication as follows:
$\left(r_{0}, \overline{r_{1}}, \overline{r_{2}}, \ldots ., \overline{r_{h}}\right)\left(s_{0}, \overline{s_{1}}, \overline{s_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{s_{h}}\right)=\left(r_{0} s_{0}, r_{0} \overline{s_{1}}+\overline{r_{1}} s_{0}, r_{0} \overline{s_{2}}+\overline{r_{2}} s_{0}, \ldots \ldots, r_{0} \overline{s_{h}}+\right.$ $\left.\overline{r_{h}} s_{0}\right)$.
It is well known that this multiplication turns $R$ into a completely primary finite ring with identity $(1, \overline{0}, \overline{0}, \ldots, \overline{0})$.
The structure of the group of units of this ring is well known and reference may be made to [5].

Theorem 4. Let $R$ be the ring constructed in this section, it's regular elements are classified as follows;
(i)If char $R=p$, then $V(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}-1} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r}\right)^{h} \cup\{0\}$
(ii)If char $R=p^{2}$, then $V(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r}\right)^{h} \cup\{0\}$
(iii)If char $R=p^{k}, k \geq 3$, then

$$
V(R) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2^{r}-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{n-1}}^{r-1} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{r}\right)^{h} \cup\{0\}, & \text { if } p=2 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n-1}}^{r} \times\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{r}\right)^{h} \cup\{0\}, & \text { if } p \neq 2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 1 in [5].
Proposition 5. Let $R_{0}=G R\left(p^{k}, p^{k}\right)$ and $U=R_{0} / p R_{0} \oplus \ldots \ldots . . \oplus R_{0} / p R_{0}$ be an $R$-module generated by $h$ elements so that $R=R_{0} \oplus U=R_{0} \oplus \underbrace{R_{0} / p R_{0} \oplus \ldots \ldots . \oplus R_{0} / p R_{0}}$.
If $s_{0}$ is regular in $R_{0}$, then its VonNeumann inverse $s_{0}^{-1}=s_{0}^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}-1}$, and $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{h}\right)^{-1}=\left(s_{0}^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}-1},-s_{1} t_{0} s_{0}^{-1}, \ldots \ldots,-s_{h} t_{0} s_{0}^{-1}\right)$.

Proof. For the inverse of $s_{0}$, refer to Proposition 4.
Now let $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{h}\right)=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{h}\right)^{-1}$, then $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{h}\right)=\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{h}\right)^{2}$ $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{h}\right)=\left(s_{0}^{2}, s_{0} s_{1}+s_{1} s_{0}, \ldots ., s_{0} s_{h}+s_{h} s_{0}\right)\left(t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{h}\right)=\left(s_{0}^{2} t_{0}, s_{0}^{2} t_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\left(s_{0} s_{1}+s_{1} s_{0}\right) t_{0}, \ldots ., s_{0}^{2} t_{h}+\left(s_{0} s_{h}+s_{h} s_{0}\right) t_{0}\right)$
So $s_{0}=s_{0}^{2} t_{0} . \Rightarrow s_{0} t_{0}=1$
$\Rightarrow t_{0}=s_{0}^{-1}=s_{0}^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}-1}$.
For $i=1, \ldots, h, s_{i}=s_{0}^{2} t_{i}+\left(s_{0} s_{i}+s_{i} s_{0}\right) t_{0}$
$\Rightarrow s_{0}^{2} t_{i}=s_{i}-\left(s_{0} s_{i}+s_{i} s_{0}\right) t_{0}$
$t_{i}=\frac{s_{i}-2 s_{0} s_{i} t_{0}}{s_{0}^{2}}$ because $R$ is commutative.
$t_{i}=\frac{s_{i}}{s_{0}^{2}}-\frac{2 s_{i} t_{0}}{s_{0}}=\frac{s_{i} t_{0}}{s_{0}}-\frac{2 s_{i} t_{0}}{s_{0}}$
$=\frac{-s_{i} t_{0}}{s_{0}}=-s_{i} t_{0} s_{0}^{-1}$
$=-s_{i} s_{0}^{-2}$.
So $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{h}\right)^{-1}=\left(s_{0}^{p^{k}-p^{k-1}-1},-s_{1} s_{0}^{-2}, \ldots .,-s_{h} s_{0}^{-2}\right)$
Theorem 5. Let $R=R_{0} \oplus R_{0} u_{1} \oplus \ldots . .+R_{0} u_{h}$, then $r \in R$ is regular iff either it is zero or a unit in $R$.

$$
\text { Proof. } \begin{aligned}
V(R)=R^{*} \cup\{0\} & =\left(R^{*} / 1+J(R)\right) \cdot(1+J(R)) \cup\{0\} \\
& =<a>\cdot(1+J(R)) \cup\{0\} \\
& \cong<a>\times(1+J(R)) \cup\{0\} \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r}-1} \times(1+J(R)) \cup\{0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Main Result

Proposition 6. Let $R_{0}=G R\left(p^{k r}, p^{k}\right)$ and $U=R_{0} / p R_{0} \oplus \ldots \ldots \oplus R_{0} / p R_{0}$ be an $R$-module generated by $h$ elements so that $R=R_{0} \oplus U=R_{0} \oplus \underbrace{R_{0} / p R_{0}+\ldots \ldots \oplus R_{0} / p R_{0}}_{\text {hsummands }}$.
If $s_{0}$ is regular in $R_{0}$, then its VonNeumann inverse is $s_{0}^{-1}=s_{0}^{\substack{p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)-1}}$ and $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{h}\right)^{-1}=\left(s_{0}^{p^{(k-1) r}\left(p^{r}-1\right)-1},-s_{1} t_{0} s_{0}^{-1}, \ldots \ldots,-s_{h} t_{0} s_{0}^{-1}\right)$

Proof. Follows from Propositions 4 and 5.
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